## **Edmonton Composite Assessment Review Board**

Citation: Petwin Development Company Ltd as represented by Avison Young v The City of Edmonton, 2014 ECARB 01170

**Assessment Roll Number:** 10024799

**Municipal Address:** 

Assessment Year: 2014

**Assessment Type:** Annual New Assessment Amount: \$244,000

Between:

Petwin Development Company Ltd as represented by Avison Young

Complainant

and

The City of Edmonton, Assessment and Taxation Branch

Respondent

# **DECISION OF** Larry Loven, Presiding Officer Judy Shewchuk, Board Member Taras Luciw, Board Member

#### **Procedural Matters**

- The Board delayed the commencement of the hearing from the scheduled hearing time by [1]15 minutes. The Complainant did not appear, nor was any phone call or email received regarding the Complainant's intention to appear.
- Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer the Respondent indicated it did not object to [2] the Board's composition. In addition, the Board members stated they had no bias with respect to this file.

#### **Preliminary Matters**

[3] At the outset of the hearing the Respondent requested the complaint be confirmed.

### **Background**

The subject property is undeveloped multi-residential land, legally described as Plan NB, Block 4, Lots 42 (North portion only), 43, 83, 84 and 85. The property is assessed at \$244,000.

#### <u>Issue</u>

Is the 2014 assessment of the subject property fair and equitable? [5]

# Position of the Complainant

[6] The requested assessed value given on the complaint form was \$122,000.

# Position of the Respondent

[7] As no disclosure of evidence was submitted by the Complainant, the Respondent requested that the complaint be confirmed.

#### **Decision**

[8] It is the decision of the Board to confirm the 2014 assessment of the subject property

#### Reasons for the Decision

- [9] The Board finds that all persons required to be notified were given notice of the hearing, and that no request for a postponement or an adjournment was received by the Board. The Board further finds that the Complainant was not in attendance.
- [10] The Board finds that no disclosure of evidence was received from the Complainant.
- [11] The Board did not hear any further argument or evidence in support of the requested value given by the Complainant on the complaint form.
- [12] In summary, based on its consideration of the above reasons, the Board confirms the 2014 assessment.

## **Dissenting Opinion**

[13] None

Heard August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2014.

Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014, at the City of Edmonton, Alberta.

Larry Loven, Presiding Officer

#### Appearances:

No Appearance

for the Complainant

Keivan Navidikasmaei

for the Respondent

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

# **Appendix**

#### Legislation

## The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26, reads:

- s 1(1)(n) "market value" means the amount that a property, as defined in section 284(1)(r), might be expected to realize if it is sold on the open market by a willing seller to a willing buyer;
- s 463 If any person who is given notice of the hearing does not attend, the assessment review board must proceed to deal with the complaint if
  - (a) all persons required to be notified were given notice of the hearing, and
  - (b) no request for a postponement or an adjournment was received by the board or, if a request was received, no postponement or adjournment was granted by the board.
- s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.
- s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration
  - (a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
  - (b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
  - (c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.